

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D C 20231 www.uspto.gov

STATES IN SMITH		www dishto gov		
		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		P04847US0 PHI 1379	2741
09/759,703	01-12/2001	David Lee Benson	F040470307111 1371	
	590 06.19.2002	EXAMINER		
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. ATTN: PIONEER HI-BRED			MEHTA, ASHWIN D	
801 GRAND A	AVENUE, SUITE 3200 , IA 50309-2721	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/200	² 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/759,703	BENSON, DAV	ID LEE				
~		Art Unit					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1638					
	Ashwin Mehta	eet with the correspondence	e address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	PLY IS SET TO EXPIR	E <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reply are provided by the Office later than three months after the main reply main term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory minimulated will apply and will expire SIX state, cause the application to be ailing date of this communication	im of thirty (30) days will be considered (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	timely this communication 3)				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on approximation (s).	12 January 2001 .						
1) Responsive to confind nodes (7)	This action is non-fin	al.	to the merits is				
			3. (i) (i) c inoine is				
closed in accordance with the particle	der Ex parte Quayle,	935 C.D. 11, 100 5					
Disposition of Claims	ation.						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applic	hdrawn from considera	ation.	1				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	narawii wa						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[3] Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.							
· · blooted to	and/or election require	ment.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or cross-y						
Application Papers	winer						
The specification is objected to by the Lx	amilier. 3opted or h∖⊡ objec	cted to by the Examiner.					
The drawing(s) filed onis/are. a) accepts a payance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the filed on is/are: a) approved by the filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
roction med on							
L agracted drawiilus are roganis							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	1110 2275						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	- foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Acknowledgment is made of a claim to	Linieidii bilouri amaa						
a) All b) Some * c) Notice of	L have been fe	eceived.					
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Copies of the certified copies of the phority documents (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
application from the interna	for a list of the certifie	d copies not received.	provisional application).				
* See the attached detailed of a claim fo	r domestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	P1 0 - 1 - 1				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). * The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. * The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. * Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
a) The translation of the foreign land	or domestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. 99 120 and/o					
Attachment(s)		- Finformal Patent A	opplication (PTO-152)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	6) Other:					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (1) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	aper No(s) <u>∠</u>		Part of Paper No. 3				

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12, 16, 25, and 29 are objected to. In line 1 of the claims, "A" should be --The--.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,118,052 ('052). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both appear to be drawn to the same maize seeds, plants, plant parts and methods. The instantly claimed plants and the patented plants have different designations. The designation "X1179J" of the instantly claimed cultivar is arbitrarily assigned, and does not provide any patentable distinction from the cultivar claimed in '052, "31A12." Both the instant claims and those of '052 are drawn to hybrid maize plants that have a relative maturity of

Art Unit: 1638

approximately 117 and are suited to the southwestern and western regions of the United States. Any differences between X1179J and 31A12 are due to minor morphological variations that do not confer patentable distinction. As X1179J and 31A12 are not patentably distinct, the claimed methods that comprise their use are obviously the same as well. A patent issuing from the instant application would then effectively extend the patent term of the claims of '052.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as 3. the invention.

The recitation "X1179J" or "X1179J (commercial designation)" in claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 32 render the claims and those dependent thereon indefinite. Since the name "X1179J" is not known in the art, the use of said name does not carry art-recognized limitations as to the specific or essential characteristics that are associated with that denomination. The name "X1179J" does not clearly identify the claimed seeds, plants, and plant parts, and does not set forth the metes and bounds of the claimed invention. The name appears to have been arbitrarily assigned and the specific characteristics associated therewith could be modified. The missing ATCC accession number in claims 1, 5, and 7 also renders the claims indefinite, as the claims do not clearly identify the deposited seed. Amending claims 1, 5, and 7 to recite the

Art Unit: 1638

ATCC deposit number in which hybrid maize seed X1179J has been deposited would overcome the rejection.

In claims 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, and 32: the terms "high," "excellent," ""above average," and "suited" are relative terms that have no definite meaning. The terms do not reasonably apprise one of the scope of the invention. The recitation "late season" also renders the claim indefinite. It is not exactly clear what is encompassed by this recitation. The recitation "Southeast, Southcentral, Southwest, and Western regions of the United States" also renders the claims indefinite. It is not exactly clear what states or geographic areas make up this region.

In claims 10, 14, 18, 23, 27, and 31: the claims are indefinite for improper antecedent basis. The claims indicate that they are directed to the corn plant breeding program of claims 9, 13, 17, 22, 26, and 30, respectively. However, claims 9, 13, 17, 22, 26, and 30 are directed to methods, not programs. It is suggested that the recitation "corn plant breeding program" in line 1 of claims 10, 14, 18, 23, 27, and 31 be replaced with --method--.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 8, 11, 12-19, 21, 24-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

hybrid maize seed designated X1179J, wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is X1179J and expresses a combination of at least two X1179J traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of X1179J, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from X1179J seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 X1179J traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from X1179J seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing;

The specification describes morphological and physiological traits of a hybrid maize plant grown from hybrid maize seed arbitrarily designated "X1179J", which was produced by crossing two inbred lines designated "GE534640" and "GE567914" (page 7, last paragraph; page 16, last paragraph and page 17, first paragraph; Table 1, pages 18-20). The specification also describes comparisons of X1179J to other hybrid plants (pages 21 and 28, page 31, line 1-17; Tables 2A-2C, pages 22-27; Table 3, page 29; page 30, first paragraph and Table 4). The

specification further indicates that upon allowance of any claims, all restrictions on the availability to a deposit of 2500 seeds of X1179J with the American Type Culture Collection will be irrevocably removed and all requirements of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 will be met, and that the deposit will follow the requirements of 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 (page 45).

However, the specification does not describe X1179J as being male sterile. The specification discusses how plants may be manipulated to be male sterile (paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 and the first full paragraph of page 3). However, the morphological and physiological description of plant X1179J described in the specification does not indicate that it is male sterile.

The specification also does not describe the plants developed by the maize breeding programs, transgenic X1179J plants, X1179J plants further comprising genes transferred by backcrossing, or maize plants wherein at least one ancestor is corn variety X1179J and which express at least two of the traits listed in claims 11, 15, 19, 24, 28, or 32. The morphological and physiological traits of the corn plants that are crossed with X1179J, and with progeny of that cross, are unknown, and the description of progeny and descendents of corn plant X1179J are unknown. The description of corn plant X1179J is not indicative of any of its descendents. To say that a plant expresses two traits of another plant is not sufficient information to describe that plant, as numerous corn plants express at least two of the same traits as those expressed by X1179J. Two plant traits do not provide any description of the other traits of a plant. It is possible that the claimed plants inherited the genes governing those traits from an ancestor other than plant X1179J. For example, Morrow (U. S. Patent No. 6,118,052) describes a corn plant designated "31A12," which has at least two traits in common with X1179J, relative maturity of

Page 7

Art Unit: 1638

approximately 117 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, being suited to the Southwestern and Western regions of the United States, consistent high yield, and above average resistances to Southern leaf blight, Fusarium Ear Mold, for example (col. 12, lines 5-17; Table 1). The instantly claimed corn plants could have 31A12 as an ancestor, as well as X1179J, in which the relative maturity and resistance to Southern leaf blight traits, for example, could have been inherited from 31A12. Further, it is not known what genes of X1179J would be affected in the claimed methods comprising breeding programs. The traits of the plants produced by the programs are unknown, and not described by the specification. The transgenes, and genes introduced into X1179J by backcrossing, may be of gene(s) that effect any trait or more than one trait. Such plants would express different morphological and physiological traits from X1179J, and which are not described. Given the breadth of the claims encompassing corn plant X1179J having male sterility, corn plants expressing at least two traits that are also expressed by X1179J, any transgenic X1179J plant, any X1179J plant further having any gene(s) introduced by backcrossing, methods comprising the use of such plants, lack of guidance of the specification as discussed above, the specification fails to provide an adequate written description of the multitude of corn plants and their parts encompassed by the claims.

5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 1638

Page 8

The claims are broadly drawn towards hybrid maize seed designated "X1179J"; a corn plant produced by growing seed of any hybrid maize seed designated X1179J, or parts thereof; or wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is X1179J and expresses a combination of at least two X1179J traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of X1179J, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from X1179J seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 X1179J traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from X1179J seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing and which expresses at least 2 X1179J traits.

Since the claimed seed of maize hybrid line X1179J is essential to the claimed invention, it must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. If the seed is not so obtainable or available, a deposit thereof may satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the exact same seed in each occurrence and it is not apparent if such a seed is readily available to the public. Applicant's intent to deposit the seed with the ATCC is acknowledged (page 47).

Page 9

Art Unit: 1638

If the seeds are deposited under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by the applicants, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, stating that the seeds will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon the issuance of a patent would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein. A minimum deposit of 2500 seeds is considered sufficient in the ordinary case to assure availability through the period for which a deposit must by maintained.

If the deposit will not be made under the Budapest Treaty, then in order to certify that the deposit meets the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, Applicants may provide assurance of compliance by an affidavit or declaration, or by a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number showing that

- (a) during the pendency of the application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (b) all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;
- (c) the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever is longer;
- (d) the viability of the biological material at the time of deposit will be tested (see 37 CFR 1.807); and
 - (e) the deposit will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103

Art Unit: 1638

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morrow (U.S. Patent No. 6,118,052).

The claims are broadly drawn towards hybrid maize seed designated "X1179J"; a corn plant produced by growing seed of any hybrid maize seed designated X1179J, or parts thereof; or wherein said plant is male sterile; or any maize plant or its parts wherein at least one ancestor is X1179J and expresses a combination of at least two X1179J traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from seed of X1179J, or which has all the morphological and physiological traits as the plant grown from X1179J seed, and which contains one or more transgenes; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more transgenes and which expresses at least 2 X1179J

Art Unit: 1638

traits; or a hybrid maize plant grown from X1179J seed wherein the genetic material contains one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or a method for developing a maize plant in a maize plant breeding program comprising said hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing; or any maize plant wherein at least one ancestor is the hybrid maize plant comprising one or more genes transferred by backcrossing and which expresses at least 2 X1179J traits.

Morrow teaches seed of a hybrid maize line designated "31A12," plants produced by growing said seed, and plants and plant parts, including pollen and ovules (col. 12, lines 5-17; Table 1; col. 15, line 33 to col. 16, line 48, Tables 2A-2C; col. 21, line 1 to col. 22, line 36; Tables 3 and 4; claims). It appears that the claimed plants and seeds of the instant invention may be the same as 31A12, given that they exhibit similar traits, such as, a relative maturity of approximately 117 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, being suited to the Southwestern and Western regions of the United States, consistent high yield, and above average resistances to Southern leaf blight and Fusarium Ear Mold, for example (col. 12, lines 5-17; Table 1). Alternatively, if the claimed plants, plant parts, and seeds of X1179J are not identical to 31A12, then it appears that 31A12 only differs from the instantly claimed plants, plant parts, and seeds due to minor morphological variation, wherein said minor morphological variation would be expected to occur in different progeny of the same cultivar, and wherein said minor morphological variation would not confer a patentable distinction to X1179J. Morrow also teaches methods to confer male sterility to corn plants, and assert that large scale commercial maize hybrid production require the use of some form of male sterility, and that a reliable method of controlling male fertility in plants also offers the

Art Unit: 1638

opportunity for improved plant breeding (col. 2, lines 20-40). It would have been obvious and within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to make plant 31A12 male sterile, following the method taught by Morrow. One would have been motivated to make 31A12 male sterile, given its requirement for hybrid production and importance for improved plant breeding, as taught by Morrow. Morrow also teaches production of tissue culture of regenerable cells from a plant of line 31A12, wherein regenerable cells are from tissues including flowers, pollen, ovules, among others; a plant produced from tissue culture of 31A12 that is capable of expressing all of the morphological and physiological traits of 31A12; corn plant breeding programs, including backcrossing, pedigree breeding, recurrent selection, among others; ancestors of plant 31A12 that express at least two 31A12 traits; use of backcrossing to introduce gene(s) for desirable traits; 31A12 comprising at least one transgene, and using the plant in maize breeding programs; maize plants produced by those breeding programs, and plants wherein at least one ancestor is maize plant 31A12 comprising at least one transgene, or genes(s) introduced by backcrossing, wherein the plant expresses at least two 31A12 traits (col. 2, line 20 to col. 5, line 55; col. 23, lines 1 to col. 32, line 21; claims). The claimed invention was prima facie obvious as a whole to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time it was made, if not anticipated by Morrow.

No claim is allowed. 7.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Ashwin Mehta, whose telephone number is 703-306-4540. The examiner can normally be

Page 12

Art Unit: 1638

reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays from 8:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson, can be reached at 703-306-3218. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 and 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

June 17, 2002